UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	_	
In Re: BIOGEN IDEC, INC., SECURITIES LITIGATION,)))	Civil Action No. 1:05-cv-10400 (RCL)

OPPOSITION OF THE BIOGEN INSTITUTIONAL INVESTOR GROUP TO THE MOTION OF THE LONDON PENSIONS FUND AUTHORITY AND NATIONAL ELEVATOR INDUSTRY PENSION FUND FOR LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY

The motion of the London Pension Fund Authority and National Elevator Industry Pension Fund (the "London group") for leave to file supplemental authority should be denied for the following reasons.

First, because the purported authority -- an unpublished decision of a district court from another circuit -- was decided after Magistrate Judge Bowler ruled on the motion, it cannot support the conclusion that the ruling was "clearly erroneous or contrary to law." Harvard Pilgrim Health Care of New Eng. v. Thompson, 318 F. Supp. 2d 1, 12 (D. R.I. 2004) quoting Fed R. Civ. P. 72(a); see also SmithKline Beecham Corp. v. Apotex Corp., No. 98-C-3952, 2000 U.S. Dist, LEXIS 13606, at *7 (N.D. Ill. Sept. 12, 2000) ("In reviewing a magistrate judge's nondispositive order for clear error, we may only properly consider the evidence that was before the magistrate judge at the time of the order. If we were to permit the introduction of new evidence at this stage, we would essentially be conducting an impermissible de novo review of the order.") Accordingly, the London Group's motion for leave to file supplemental authority should be denied because, even if it the facts of that case were analogous, which they are not, it could not form the basis for reversing Judge Bowler's decision.

Second, the facts of Smajlaj v. Brocade Communications Systems, Inc. No. 05-02042 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 2006) (CRB) are inapplicable to the facts before this Court. In *Brocade* Communications, the court declined to appoint as lead plaintiff a hedge fund that failed to evidence that it had authority to bring the motion, or even to explain its complex corporate structure to the court:

In particular, the Court is concerned about an apparent reluctance by Interpid to turn over documentation of its authority to litigate this matter to the Court, as well as the continuous 'new' revelations that appear to expose the somewhat complicated and intricate structure of the company.

Id. at 4. These issues were not raised against the Biogen Institutional Investor Group, nor could they have been. The *Brocade Communications* decision stands for the unremarkable proposition that a movant whose description of its corporate structure changes repeatedly and who has failed to demonstrate its authority to bring the motion should not be appointed as lead plaintiff. That holding has no application here. Despite the London Group's arguments, Judge Bowler correctly concluded, on a full record and after holding two hearings, that the Biogen Institutional Investor Group demonstrated the largest financial interest and was adequate and typical and that, therefore, it should be appointed lead plaintiff. Judge Bowler's decision should stand.

DATED: February 8, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Filed 02/08/2006

MOULTON & GANS, P.C. By:

Nancy Freeman Gans, BBO #184540 33 Broad Street, Suite 1100 Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 369-7979 Liaison Counsel

ENTWISTLE & CAPPUCCI LLP

Vincent R. Cappucci Stephen D. Oestreich Robert N. Cappucci Frank S. Rossi II 280 Park Ave, 26 Floor West New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (212) 894-7200

MILBERG WEISS BERSHAD & SCHULMAN LLP

Sanford P. Dumain Richard Weiss Andrei V. Rado Ann Lipton One Pennsylvania Plaza New York, NY 10119

Telephone: (212) 594-5300

Co-Lead Counsel

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Andrei V. Rado, an associate with the law firm Milberg Weiss Bershad & Schulman LLP, hereby certify that I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be served by regular U.S. Mail to all parties listed on the attached service list on this 8th day of February 2006.

Service List

Counsel for Plaintiffs

David Pastor
Douglas M. Brooks

GILMAN AND PASTOR, LLP Stonehill Corporate Center 999 Broadway, Suite 500 Saugus, MA 01906

Tel.: (781) 231-7850 Fax: (781) 231-7840

Eric J. Belfi Aaron D. Patton

MURRAY, FRANK & SAILER LLP 275 Madison Avenue, 8th Floor

New York, NY 10016 Tel.: (212) 682-1818 Fax: (212) 682-1892

Jeffrey C. Block Leslie R. Stern

BERMAN DEVALERIO PEASE TABACCO BURT

& PUCILLO

One Liberty Square Boston, MA 02109 Tel.: (617) 542-8300 Fax: (617) 542-1194 Marc A. Topaz Richard A. Maniskas SCHIFFRIN & BARROWAY 280 King of Prussia Road Radnor, PA 19087

Tel.: (610) 667-7706 Fax: (610) 667-7056 (610) 667-7056

Michael Goldberg
Dale MacDiarmid

GLANCY, BINKOW & GOLDBERG LLP 1801 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 311

Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 201-9150 Fax: (310) 201-9160

Jules Brody Aaron Brody Michael J. Klein

STULL, STULL & BRODY

6 East 45th Street New York, NY 10017 Tel.: (212) 687-7230 Fax.: (212) 687-7230 Vincent R. Cappucci Stephen D. Oestreich Robert N. Cappucci

ENTWISTLE & CAPPUCCI LLP

299 Park Avenue New York, NY 10171 Tel.: (212) 894-7200 Fax: (212) 894-7272

Samuel H. Rudman
David A. Rosenfeld
LERACH, COUGHLIN, STOIA, GELLER,
RUDMAN & ROBBINS, LLP
200 Broadhollow Road, Ste. 406
Melville, NY 11747

Tel.: (631) 367-7100 Fax: (631) 367-1173 Thomas G. Shapiro
Theodore M. Hess-Mahan
SHAPIRO HABER & URMY LLP
53 State Street
Boston, MA 02109

Tel.: (617) 439-3939 Fax: (617) 439-0134

William S. Lerach
Darren J. Robbins

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP

401 B Street, Suite 1700 San Diego, CA 92101

Tel.: (619) 231-1058 Fax: (619) 231-7423

Counsel for Defendants

James R. Carroll
Matthew J. Matule
Michael S. Hines
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM
LLP
One Beacon Street

Boston, MA 02108 Tel.: (617) 573-4800